Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/945,273	MATSUYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael J. Simitoski	2134
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final after rce</u>	
(1) <u>Michael J. Simitoski</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Marina Saito</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 October 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 26-27	·	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a water record of the substance of	the interview, since the interview
Milsto		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner realized that there was an error on the previous action, such that in the restriction requirement, claims 26-27 belong to group I, species II. Therefore, the examiner called applicant to determine if applicant would like a new requirement sent out or would be amenable to including claims 26-27 in the non-elected group and hence change the claim status to withdrawn. Applicant agreed to continue with the current election and change the status of claims 26-27 to withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected species. As such, claims 26-27 are withdrawn from consideration.